

Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences

Major decisive factors of tropical cyclone risk in the Republic of Korea: Intensity, track, and extratropical transition --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	APAS-D-22-00210R1									
Full Title:	Major decisive factors of tropical cyclone risk in the Republic of Korea: Intensity, track, and extratropical transition									
Article Type:	Original Article									
Corresponding Author:	Doo-Sun Park Kyungpook National University UNITED STATES									
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:										
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Kyungpook National University									
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:										
First Author:	Chaehyeon Chelsea Nam									
First Author Secondary Information:										
Order of Authors:	Chaehyeon Chelsea Nam									
	Doo-Sun Park									
	Chang-Hoi Ho									
Order of Authors Secondary Information:										
Funding Information:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>National Research Foundation of the Korean government (NRF-2019R111A3A01058100)</td><td>Prof. Doo-Sun Park</td></tr> <tr> <td>National Research Foundation of the Korean government (NRF-2020R1A4A3079510)</td><td>Prof. Doo-Sun Park</td></tr> <tr> <td>Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program (KMI2022-01312)</td><td>Prof. Doo-Sun Park</td></tr> <tr> <td>Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program (KMI2020-00610)</td><td>Prof. Chang-Hoi Ho</td></tr> </table>		National Research Foundation of the Korean government (NRF-2019R111A3A01058100)	Prof. Doo-Sun Park	National Research Foundation of the Korean government (NRF-2020R1A4A3079510)	Prof. Doo-Sun Park	Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program (KMI2022-01312)	Prof. Doo-Sun Park	Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program (KMI2020-00610)	Prof. Chang-Hoi Ho
National Research Foundation of the Korean government (NRF-2019R111A3A01058100)	Prof. Doo-Sun Park									
National Research Foundation of the Korean government (NRF-2020R1A4A3079510)	Prof. Doo-Sun Park									
Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program (KMI2022-01312)	Prof. Doo-Sun Park									
Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program (KMI2020-00610)	Prof. Chang-Hoi Ho									
Abstract:	<p>After the catastrophic damage caused by the extratropical transitioned North Atlantic hurricane Sandy (2012), the decaying stage of a tropical cyclone (TC) have received more attention. TC undergoing extratropical transition (ET) in mid-latitudes may become hazardous with torrential rain and violent wind over a vast area. In this study, a decision tree analysis was applied to evaluate the relative importance of TC parameters such as intensity category, entry location, and distance from coastlines, in determining damage occurrence. All 123 landfalling TCs in the Republic of Korea (hereafter Korea) during 1979–2015 were analyzed. The results reveal that intense TCs (severe tropical storms and typhoons) incur damages regardless of entry location and distance from coastlines. TCs with tropical storm intensity are expected to incur damages only when they approach the southwest of the Korean Peninsula. Weak TC with maximum wind speeds smaller than 17 m s^{-1} does not have the potential to incur damages unless the TC was undergoing extratropical transition (ET) during landfall in Korea. ET storms that make a landfall approaching 1.22° ($\sim 130 \text{ km}$) to the coastline cause substantial damages especially in the west coast and capital area of Korea. The present results suggest that accurate forecasting that also considers ET, and not only intensity and track, is essential for successful disaster risk mitigation.</p>									

Response Letter to the Reviewers

“Major decisive factors of tropical cyclone risk: Intensity, track, and extratropical transition”

by Chaehyeon Chelsea Nam, Doo-Sun R. Park, and Chang-Hoi Ho

Submitted to Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences in November 2022

We appreciate the editor, Dr. Jinwon Kim, and we thank the two anonymous reviewers for their time to read our manuscript thoroughly and to provide helpful comments. We believe we could improve the paper by addressing the reviewers' comments.

We made revisions to the manuscript based on the reviewer's comments. The point-by-point response to each reviewer's comments will follow with reviewers' comments in black ink and Calibri font style and our responses in [blue ink and Times New Roman font style](#).

Reviewer 1's comments:

Based on a decision tree analysis, this study evaluates the relative importance of tropical cyclone (TC) parameters such as intensity category, entry location, and distance from coastlines, in determining damage occurrence in Korea during 1979–2015. The manuscript is well written. Figures are well constructed and clear to interpret. However, there are some issues that need to be resolved before this manuscript can be acceptable for publication, as summarized below.

1) The title does not seem to adequately express the contents of this study. For example, since the factors influencing TC risk found in this paper are limited to the Korea region, it would be better if the region name was included in the title.

[We changed the title as “Major decisive factors of tropical cyclone risk in the Republic of Korea: Intensity, track, and extratropical transition”](#)

2) Currently, only three factors are used to determine TC damage occurrence in Korea, but sensitivity tests for more diverse factors and discussion of the results seem necessary. For example, TC translation speed, TC size, TC season (month, or summer/autumn), and the area of land (excluding Korea) that the TC passed through also seem to be important factors. The last factor in particular is likely to help improve inaccurate forecasts for TCs passing through Japan or China.

[We agreed that this is an important caveat, however, they are out of our main concern, that is extratropical transition. Hence, we did not perform additional sensitivity tests, but we included a discussion on this point at the end of our paper, as follows: “We also note that there are other hazard factors that one can include for the risk analysis such as TC size, TC translation speed, and the land area within gust radii, all of which could affect the spatial and temporal extent of TC impact. Detailed risk analysis utilizing more hazard factors will further advance our understanding of the TC risk process over this region.”](#)

3) L149-154: “Weak TCs generally follow a pattern wherein they re-curve after moving west for a longer time, as they approach the Seoul area.” -> Please refer to the paper below for the reason why TCs passing through the Yellow Sea and approaching the west coast of Korea are weak. This paper suggests that the upper-ocean thermal structure plays a crucial role in determining the TC intensity making landfall over the Korean peninsula.

Moon, I.-J., and S. J. Kwon, 2012. Impact of upper-ocean thermal structure on the intensity of Korean peninsula landfall typhoons. *Progress in Oceanography*, 105, 61-66.

Thank you for this comment. We provided speculation on why the TCs approaching the west coast of Korea as follows: *“WTCs generally follow a pattern wherein they re-curve after moving west for a longer time, as they approach the Seoul area. The reason why the TCs passing through the Yellow Sea are weaker than the TCs that recurve earlier and pass the southeastern part of the Korean Peninsula can be 1) some of them make landfall in China first and weaken (Fig. 3c), and 2) the Yellow Sea has a shallower subsurface warm layer and thus smaller ocean heat content than the eastern side of the Jeju Island (Moon and Kwon 2012).”*

Reviewer 2's comments:

Line No.	Manuscript	Reviewer's comments/remarks
Line 52	For instance, the capital area of the Republic of Korea (hereafter Korea) experienced as much damage from WTC as from STC.	Any reference to support this statement?
A reference (Park et al. 2016) is added.		
Line 55	Extratropical transition (ET), which is the phenomenon when a TC transforms into an extratropical cyclone as it moves into the mid-latitude and encounters baroclinic environmental zones (Klein et al. 2000; Hart et al. 2006), could explain the unexpected damage from WTC.	Any reference to support this statement?
This is a transitional statement to introduce the concept of ET and connect it to the damage of WTC. We elaborate on the possible connection of ET and WTC damage in the following paragraph.		
Line 88	TCs approaching the 3° latitude and longitude distance from the coastline and border of Korea were sorted as Korean landfall TCs (Fig. 1).	Any reason for choosing the 3° latitude and longitude?
<p>The definition of 3-degree distance has been used by previous research that investigated Korean-landfalling TCs (Nam et al. 2018, Park et al. 2016, 2021 included in our reference list). The operational definition of Korean landfall TCs includes the subjective decision of the Korean Meteorological Agency forecasters. We wanted to use objective criteria to sort out the TCs that approached the Republic of Korea. The 3-degree radius has the best correlation with the operational record of Korea-affecting TCs defined by KMA forecasters, compared to 1-degree, 2-degree, 4-degree and 5-degree definitions. This result is discussed in Kim et al. (2023). We added the related statements in the Methods section as follows:</p> <p><i>“The definition of 3-degree distance has been used by previous research that investigated Korea-landfalling TCs (Park et al. 2016; Nam et al. 2018; Park et al. 2021). Kim et al. (2023) recently showed that the 3-degree definition corresponds the best with the operational definition of Korea-affecting TCs, which includes subjective judgments of the forecasters.”</i></p> <p>Kim, D., D.-S. R. Park, M. Chang, D.-H. Cha, and M. Lee, 2023: Reanalyzing the relationship of tropical cyclone frequency and intensity affecting South Korea with the Pacific Decadal Oscillation. <i>Journal of Climate</i>, in press.</p>		
Line 90	A total of 123 TCs, equivalent to 4.56 TCs per year, were recorded for the analysis period.	It is suggested to give the number of TCs for each category used for analysis.
<i>“The total 123 TCs break down to 41 TYs, 19 STSs, 24 TSs, 16 TDs, 13 ETs, and 10 Unknowns.”</i> We included this information in the main text where we describe the explanatory variables for the decision tree method.		
Line 97	Cases with a damaging period longer than 10 days were then excluded from the analysis	How many cases (with classification of category) were excluded? What is the definition of ‘damaging period’ and any reason for choosing 10 days as the criterion?
In this study, we followed the method of Park et al. (2015) for the damage data. For 1979-2010 data, we had a total of 92 TC cases, and 12 TCs had a damaging period longer than 10 days, which constitutes about 11 % of Korea-landfalling TCs. The damaging period is defined by the National Disaster Information Center (NDIC) of the Korean government, and NDIC sum up the period where		

multiple natural disasters happen consecutively, such as 1) two successive TCs and 2) TCs landfalling during the Korean monsoon period (i.e., Changma). We elaborated our explanation of the damage data by revising the sentences in the paper as the following: *“The NDIC records aggregate damage records when multiple natural disasters happen simultaneously or consecutively; thus, damage data with a damaging period longer than 10 days in the NDIC record would represent aggregated damage from multiple TCs coming in succession or a TC arriving during a heavy rainfall monsoon period (i.e., Changma season).”*

Line 119	TCs that enter the impact location in the TY, STS, and TS categories were grouped as STC, while those in the ET, TD, and unknown categories were grouped as WTC, similar to Park et al (2016).	How many TCs used for analysis are classified as ‘Unknown’? How confident the ‘Unknown’ TCs are WTCs (i.e. would some of the ‘Unknown’ TCs be STCs)?
----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

We have 10 Unknowns among the total 123 TCs. STCs are named storms, and they are followed by operational agencies rigorously. When the TC intensity is strong, the intensity estimate is more accurate and confident (Velden et al. 1998). Therefore, we are confident that the Unknowns belong to the WTC category. We added the following statements in the text: *“The Unknown category belongs to WTC because the named TCs (i.e., STCs) are tracked by operational agencies rigorously, and their intensity estimates are more robust and accurate (Velden et al. 1998). Thus, STCs would not be labeled as Unknown by the RSMC Tokyo.”*

Velden, C. S., T. L. Olander, and R. M. Zehr, 1998: Development of an Objective Scheme to Estimate Tropical Cyclone Intensity from Digital Geostationary Satellite Infrared Imagery. *Weather and Forecasting*, **13**, 172-186.

Line 161	The only clear difference was in the event where WTC was approaching as ET or TD/unknown (Figs. 3c and 3d).	This statement is not clear, please elaborate further.
----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------

We revised the sentence as follows, *“The difference in track patterns of WTCs was minimal, and the only clear difference between damaged and undamaged WTCs was whether that WTC was approaching as ET or TD/unknown (Figs. 3c and 3d).”*

Line 231	Additionally, ET cases can re-intensify in the process when the environment is favorable (e.g., phasing with upperlevel troughs and warm oceanic environments), and re-intensified ET cases would then constitute an increased probability of causing economic loss as they landfall (Evans et al. 2017; Ritchie and Elsberry 2003).	How many of the ET cases used in this study have re-intensification? Would it be another important factor for making more prominent decision on economic loss or not?
----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Thank you for this question. We are preparing a paper that look at the re-intensification during ET around the Korean Peninsula with the hypothesis that it would be an important decision factor for economic loss from TCs. We included a sentence as follows, *“Future work could examine the re-intensification during ET around Korea as an important hazard factor for the TC risk process.”*

**Major decisive factors of tropical cyclone risk in the Republic of Korea: Intensity, track, and
extratropical transition**

Chaehyeon Chelsea Nam¹, Doo-Sun R. Park², and Chang-Hoi Ho³

¹ Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, U.S.A.

² Department of Earth Science Education, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

³ School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

November, 2022

Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences

Corresponding Author: Doo-Sun R. Park, Department of Earth Science Education, Kyungpook National
University, The 2nd Science Building, Room 221, Daegu, 41566, Korea. *e-mail* : dsrpark@knu.ac.kr.

Abstract

After the catastrophic damage caused by the extratropical transitioned North Atlantic hurricane Sandy (2012), the decaying stage of a tropical cyclone (TC) have received more attention. TC undergoing extratropical transition (ET) in mid-latitudes may become hazardous with torrential rain and violent wind over a vast area. In this study, a decision tree analysis was applied to evaluate the relative importance of TC parameters such as intensity category, entry location, and distance from coastlines, in determining damage occurrence. All 123 landfalling TCs in the Republic of Korea (hereafter Korea) during 1979–2015 were analyzed. The results reveal that intense TCs (severe tropical storms and typhoons) incur damages regardless of entry location and distance from coastlines. TCs with tropical storm intensity are expected to incur damages only when they approach the southwest of the Korean Peninsula. Weak TC with maximum wind speeds smaller than 17 m s^{-1} does not have the potential to incur damages unless the TC was undergoing extratropical transition (ET) during landfall in Korea. ET storms that make a landfall approaching 1.22° ($\sim 130 \text{ km}$) to the coastline cause substantial damages especially in the west coast and capital area of Korea. The present results suggest that accurate forecasting that also considers ET, and not only intensity and track, is essential for successful disaster risk mitigation.

Key words: Tropical cyclone, extratropical transition, typhoon damage, risk, decision tree.

1. Introduction

Understanding the risk factors of tropical cyclone (TC) is essential for effectively mitigating its potential risks. Many efforts have been made to investigate TC risk factors, such as TC intensity, size, and track. TC intensity normally refers to wind-related parameters, such as maximum wind speed (V_{\max}) (Nordhaus 2010). Klotzbach et al. (2020) showed that another intensity parameter, minimum sea level pressure, correlates better with damage and fatalities than V_{\max} does. In addition to TC intensity, TC size is known to be an important factor for predicting the amount of damage over the United States (US) (Czajkowski and Done 2014, Hsiang and Narita 2012). Track is also an essential factor used in determining the occurrence of damages from a TC. According to Nam et al. (2018), even a small difference of less than 250 km in the track can serve as a key determinant of damages from TCs.

Many previous TC risk studies have focused on TC under the strong TC than weak and decaying TC, since the former are more destructive than the latter, particularly, associated with its strong wind gusts. Meanwhile, a recent study by Park et al. (2016) showed that weak TC (WTC; $V_{\max} < 17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) can, in some regions, be as destructive as strong TC (STC; $V_{\max} \geq 17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). For instance, the capital area of the Republic of Korea (hereafter Korea) experienced as much damage from WTC as from STC (Park et al. 2016). No detailed analysis for the characteristics of WTC attributable for the substantial damage was reported, however. Extratropical transition (ET), which is the phenomenon when a TC transforms into an extratropical cyclone as it moves into the mid-latitude and encounters baroclinic environmental zones (Klein et al. 2000; Hart et al. 2006), could explain the unexpected damage from WTC. Note that TCs have two different pathways via which they move poleward: 1) they decay as a tropical depression (TD) without undergoing ET, or 2) decay undergoing ET (Jones 2003). Over the western North Pacific (WNP), about 50% of TCs (~13 TCs) undergo ET as they move poleward and interact with mid-latitude environments, and 7–10 TCs make landfalls during ET every year (Bieli et al. 2019).

It is interesting to note that ET storm can cause more extreme weather hazards associated with heavy precipitation than TD (Choi 2021). TC draw energy from latent heat released from warm ocean surfaces, whereas extratropical cyclone derives their energy from the release of potential energy when cold and

warm air masses interact. During ET, the deep warm core of a TC becomes shallow and is often replaced by a cold-core, asymmetric structure, later leading to the development of surface fronts (Klein et al. 2000, Hart et al. 2006). The ET process involves baroclinic frontal lifting, combined with the remaining moisture and secondary circulation in the eyewall, which creates favorable conditions for heavy rainfall (Keller et al. 2019; Ritchie and Elsberry 2003). For example, North Atlantic hurricane Sandy (2012) was under ET when it made landfall in New Jersey and produced catastrophic flooding that caused economic loss amounting to 50 billion US dollars (Galarneau et al. 2013). In Japan, the extreme precipitation associated with typhoon Etau (2015) caused disastrous floods, resulting in casualties in the northern and eastern portions of Tokyo. This typhoon was also under ET when it passed the Japanese islands (Keller et al. 2019).

Hence, a comprehensive understanding of TC-induced damages requires consideration of all intensity categories of STC and WTC, including ET, TD, tropical storm (TS), severe tropical storm (STS), and typhoon (TY), accompanied by their tracks. In this study, the contribution of each intensity category and track to the total TC damage occurrence over Korea was examined using the updated version of the TC damage dataset, based on Park et al. (2015, 2016). In the following section, the meteorological and damage data, and decision tree methods are explained. The third section contains the results of the risk analysis, and finally, the fourth section highlights the major findings of this study.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Tropical cyclone and damage data

The locations of the TC center and intensity were obtained from the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS) version 4 (Knapp et al. 2010, 2018). Hourly TC data, interpolated linearly from three-hourly IBTrACS, were analyzed for the years 1979–2015. TCs approaching the 3° latitude and longitude distance from the coastline and border of Korea were sorted as Korean landfall TCs (Fig. 1). The definition of 3-degree distance has been used by previous research that investigated Korea-landfalling TCs (Park et al. 2016; Nam et al. 2018; Park et al. 2021). Kim et al. (2023) recently

showed that the 3-degree definition corresponds the best with the operational definition of Korea-affecting TCs, which includes subjective judgments of the forecasters. A total of 123 TCs, equivalent to 4.56 TCs per year, were recorded for the analysis period. Further details on the calculation of each variable are discussed in Section 2.2.

Verified data on socioeconomic losses were taken from the open-access website of the National Disaster Information Center (NDIC) of the Korean government (<http://safekorea.go.kr>). The socioeconomic losses of the NDIC data include direct damage of industrial, public, and private facilities in total economics, and the losses are adjusted to the value of money in 2005. Thereafter, the damages were marked corresponding to the period that a TC center was located within 5° from the Korean coastline. Cases with a damaging period longer than 10 days (About 11% of the TCs) were then excluded from the analysis because these data points may not represent TC damage exclusively. The NDIC records aggregate damage records when multiple natural disasters happen simultaneously or consecutively; thus, damage data with a damaging period longer than 10 days in the NDIC record would represent aggregated damage from multiple TCs coming in succession or a TC arriving during a heavy rainfall monsoon period (i.e., Changma season). As the NDIC has significantly changed its data format since 2016, data that were used in the present study were limited to the period of 1979–2015. More details about the socioeconomic losses dataset can be found in Park et al. (2015).

2.2. Decision tree method

The decision tree method was used to analyze the relationship between the risk factors and TC damage. In the decision tree model, the explanatory variables are the following TC parameters: impact category, impact angle, and minimum distance (Fig. 1), while the response variable is the economic damage occurrence recorded for TC landfalling episodes.

For the response variable, we used the categories ‘yes’ and ‘no’ with respect to damage occurrence because the decision tree method is more effective in predicting the outcome of a categorical than a continuous variable. The ‘undamaged’ TC cases are those whose impact was negligible so that no damage record was reported to the National Emergency Management Agency.

Regarding the explanatory variables, Table 1 shows the six impact categories (TY, STS, TS, ET, TD, and unknown) that are adopted from the TC grades of the Regional Specialized Meteorological Center (RSMC) Tokyo. The impact category is decided according to the RSMC Tokyo TC grade at the time of impact when the TC first enters the 3° distance. Meteorological agencies have some discrepancies with respect to TC grades, especially in differentiating extratropical versus tropical storms (Schreck et al. 2014). Therefore, we decided to use the RSMC Tokyo record, as they are in charge of TC analysis and forecast for the WNP TCs. The total 123 TCs break down to 41 TYs, 19 STSs, 24 TSs, 16 TDs, 13 ETs, and 10 Unknowns. TCs that enter the impact location in the TY, STS, and TS categories were grouped as STC, while those in the ET, TD, and unknown categories were grouped as WTC, similar to Park et al (2016). The Unknown category belongs to WTC because the named TCs (i.e., STCs) are tracked by operational agencies rigorously, and their intensity estimates are more robust and accurate (Velden et al. 1998). Thus, STCs would not be labeled as Unknown by the RSMC Tokyo. The impact angle, representing the entry location, indicates the angle from which the TC approaches the 3° distance line. The center position is Daejeon city (Fig. 1), and its direct north is 0°, to form a full 360° angle. The minimum distance was defined as the distance from the coastline to the center of the TC as it approaches the Korean coastline. For example, the impact category of TC Usagi (2007) was STS, its impact angle was 236°, and its minimum distance was 1.44° (Fig. 1).

The decision tree method aids in structuring the layers of the decision process using multiple variables following a graphical sequence. It is one of the simplest machine learning techniques, as it is intuitively interpretable with robustness to a variety of data. Here, we used the See5/C5.0 algorithm (Quinlan 1993), following Nam et al. (2018), which calculates information obtained at each node to select the most efficient attribute for splitting training samples into two branches.

To prevent over-fitting, we introduced pruning and cross-validation. First, we required that branches have a sample size of at least five. The number five was determined through a retrospective pruning process. Second, a ten-fold cross-validation was conducted, and we checked that the decision tree results (e.g., model accuracy, tree size, or attribute usage) are stable and consistent and that the relative importance among the TC risk factors was robust.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of damages resulting from STCs and WTCs

WTCs are commonly considered to pose a lower risk of natural disasters than STCs. Figure 2 displays the median and average economic losses directly caused by TC landfalls in five provinces in Korea, in which the average economic loss was generally higher than the median for both STCs and WTCs (Figs. 2a and 2b). This can be attributed to the skewed distribution of damage data, with extremely high economic losses from certain TCs recorded in some provinces. STCs caused significantly higher damages than WTCs, particularly in the southern and eastern provinces, and the opposite was true in the northwestern province, including the Seoul metropolitan area where half of the Korean population is concentrated.

The impacts of WTCs in the Seoul metropolitan area were documented by Park et al. (2016), based on the track differences between the two TC categories. WTCs generally follow a pattern wherein they re-curve after moving west for a longer time, as they approach the Seoul area. The reason why the TCs passing through the Yellow Sea are weaker than the TCs that recurve earlier and pass the southeastern part of the Korean Peninsula can be 1) some of them make landfall in China first and weaken (Fig. 3c), and 2) the Yellow Sea has a shallower subsurface warm layer and thus smaller ocean heat content than the eastern side of the Jeju Island (Moon and Kwon 2012). As a result of this track difference between WTCs and STCs, the northwestern provinces are more directly exposed to WTCs than STCs, compared with the southern and eastern provinces where STCs were recorded to have considerably stronger local winds and higher amounts of rainfall.

Figure 3 presents the tracks of STCs and WTCs for both damaged and undamaged cases. TCs causing landfall with TY, STS, and TS categories had tracks that were more geared eastward than those causing landfall with ET, TD, and unknown categories, which is consistent with findings by Park et al. (2016). Comparing the STCs that caused economic losses and those that did not, the track appears as

the most evident difference (Figs. 3a and 3b). Nam et al. (2018) showed that TCs approaching the west coast of the Korean peninsula generally cause more damage, owing to the longer duration and topographic influence of rainfall. The difference in track patterns of WTCs was minimal, and the only clear difference between damaged and undamaged WTCs was whether that WTC was approaching as ET or TD/unknown (Figs. 3c and 3d). According to Choi (2021), ET storms bring more rainfall over the Seoul area on average than what TDs do. In addition, rainfall is also a more dominant hazard source than wind gusts or storm surges in WTCs (Park et al. 2016).

3.2. Decision tree analysis

The decision tree analysis further derives insightful information from the findings shown in Fig. 3. Using the input data of the explanatory variables, the probability of occurrence of national economic losses caused by TC landfalls was estimated (Fig. 4). Using the decision tree flow chart, the parameters that lead to TC damage occurrence can be easily determined, with the first leaf node as the starting point. The results reveal that impact category was the primary parameter of the highest relevance because it was used as the first leaf node to determine differences between damaged and undamaged TCs. Results suggest that no economic loss will occur if TC landfall is under the TD or unknown category. In contrast, economic losses from damages will occur if the landfalling TC is under the STS or TY categories.

Under ET and TS categories, however, the impact category alone was not a sufficient predictor, and hence, the decision tree algorithm searched for other secondary parameters that may be used as additional information. It was determined that minimum distance and impact angle were the secondary parameters for ET and TS categories, respectively. If TS approached the west or south coast of Korea (angle $< 225.33^\circ$), economic losses were predicted to occur. In contrast, negligible damages would occur if TS approached the east coast. If the ET storm was closer than or equal to 1.22° (~ 130 km from the Korean coastline), it was predicted to cause damage, whereas if the minimum distance was larger than 1.22° , damage would be negligible.

The accuracy of the decision tree shown in Fig. 4 is 78.9%, and the accuracy of each end node is displayed in the parenthesis (Fig. 4). According to this model, the STS and TY categories inevitably

incur damages while TD and unknown categories do not. Since, among the total of 60 STS and TY impact category cases, 50 TCs actually recorded economic losses in Korea, the accuracy for this node is ~83%. Most of the incorrectly predicted STSs and TYs were those that were predicted to cause damage but did not (solid red lines in Figs. 5e and 5f). Their tracks were generally located east of Korea and relatively far from the coastline, and their possibility of damaging Korea was less than the others. In contrast, for TD and unknown impact category cases, most of the incorrectly predicted cases were TCs that caused damage but were predicted not to (red dashed lines in Figs. 5a and 5b), but their common features are not clearly distinguished in the tracks. The incorrect predictions could come from the cases that were undergoing ET but were mistakenly categorized as TD, as it is challenging to correctly identify ETs (Schreck et al. 2014, Studholme et al. 2015). Among the 26 TD and unknown categories, 16 TCs did not cause damage, and thus, the accuracy for this node is only 62%, which is the lowest of all end nodes. This inaccuracy could be improved by further bifurcation; however, the decision tree model no longer branched out, due to the lack of other common characteristics for the damaged cases.

For ET and TS nodes, the decision tree made another branch layer. For the TS node with an accuracy of ~79%, TCs that did not cause damage but were predicted to have the greatest number of incorrectly predicted cases. Looking into all tracks from genesis, most of the damaging TS cases passed the South Sea of Korea (Fig. 5d). Half of the incorrectly predicted TS cases passed the Japanese islands, in a way that they did not necessarily cause damage, but the impact angle satisfied the basis of determination of damage. It was difficult to determine the reason for the incorrect prediction on the other half of incorrectly predicted TS cases based on the given information. The ET category cases only had one incorrect prediction, making it the most accurate (~92%). The one incorrect ET case had a minimum distance of 1.22° , just above the threshold of the decision making, which facilitates incorrect predictions (Fig. 5c). This high sensitivity is a representative limitation of single decision trees, and hence, ensemble methods, such as bagging or random forest, are generally applied to lessen the sensitivity. However, because our main goal was not to develop a forecast model, but to diagnose the decisive factors for damage, and we had a limited number of cases, a decision tree was determined to be more appropriate

than the ensemble methods, since single decision tree is more interpretable. For details on the measures that we employed to best mitigate the limitations of the decision tree method, see Section 2.2.

4. Concluding remarks

In the present study, the relative importance of the risk factors of TC damages in Korea was examined. The impact category was revealed to have the highest importance in determining the probability of direct economic loss caused by an approaching TC. According to the decision tree model, the TY and STS categories would inevitably cause damage while TD and unknown categories would not. For the TS and ET categories, the second branches were necessary to determine damage occurrence. For TS, the impact angle was the second most important factor determining whether damage was caused; TSs approaching from the west coast of the Korean peninsula incurred damage, whereas those from the east coast did not. For the ET category, the distance from the coastline was the second determinant; damage would be caused if ET storms passed closer than ~130 km from the coastline.

The findings from this study highlight the direct impacts of ET cases in Korea. Of the 39 WTC cases, 18 (~50%) caused economic losses, whereas, for ET cases, eight of 13 (~61%) cases recorded economic losses. The ET cases that did not have damage reported were far from the border of Korea ($> 1.22^\circ$). By comparing the large-scale environment for ET and TD cases, Choi (2021) showed that the atmospheric background for ET cases has a stronger and larger signal of positive divergence and potential vorticity in the upper troposphere, which supports heavier rainfall in a wider area. Additionally, ET cases can re-intensify in the process when the environment is favorable (e.g., phasing with upper-level troughs and warm oceanic environments), and re-intensified ET cases would then constitute an increased probability of causing economic loss as they landfall (Evans et al. 2017; Ritchie and Elsberry 2003). Among the TCs that went through ET in the WNP for the 1979–2009 period, 36.5% recurved, and of the recurving ET storms, 42.5% re-intensified (Archambault et al. 2013). Future work could examine the re-intensification during ET around Korea as an important hazard factor for the TC risk process.

This study underlines the importance of accurately forecasting ET cases. In the present decision tree model, the lowest accuracy of the TD and unknown categories could be a result of misidentification, i.e., where TCs experienced ET but were not classified into the ET category. ET forecasting can be particularly challenging compared to other specializations as a storm often deviates its structure from pure TCs, and we lack observation data for ET storms. During ET, TCs expand to the outer-core wind field, which affects the distribution of large waves. Precipitation becomes largely asymmetric, and new convections can be 500–2,000 km away from the transitioning TC, as a result of quasi-stationary convection from enhanced moisture transport and mid-latitude baroclinic forcing (Grams and Archambault 2016). Although some researchers have examined the final transformation stage of ET (Evans et al. 2017), ET cases that have been documented for entire ET cycles are limited; hence, more observation data are needed to reduce the uncertainty around ET forecasts. Therefore, further research on the direct impacts of ET cases and the physical processes associated with precipitation and re-intensification is expected to improve extreme weather forecasting and disaster preparedness, particularly across the Seoul metropolitan area of Korea. We also note that there are other hazard factors that one can include for the risk analysis such as TC size, TC translation speed, and the land area within gust radii, all of which could affect the spatial and temporal extent of TC impact. Detailed risk analysis utilizing more hazard factors will further advance our understanding of the TC risk process over this region.

Acknowledgements:

This work was funded by the National Research Foundation of the Korean government (NRF-2019R1I1A3A01058100 and NRF-2020R1A4A3079510), and the Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program (KMI2022-01312 and KMI2020-00610).

References

- Archambault, H. M., L. F. Bosart, D. Keyser, and J. M. Cordeira, 2013: A climatological analysis of the extratropical flow response to recurving western North Pacific tropical cyclones. *Monthly Weather Review*, 141, 2325–2346.
- Bakkensen, L. A., D.-S. R. Park, and R. S. R. Sarkar, 2018: Climate costs of tropical cyclone losses also depend on rain. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13, 074034.
- Bieli, M., S. J. Camargo, A. H. Sobel, J. L. Evans, and T. Hall, 2019: A global climatology of extratropical transition. Part 1: Characteristics across basins. *Journal of Climate*, 32, 3557–3582.
- Czajkowski, J., and Done, J.J., 2014: As the wind blows? Understanding hurricane damages at the local level through a case study analysis. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 6, 202–217.
- Choi, J.-W., 2021: Spatiotemporal distribution of precipitation related to weakening of tropical cyclones in Korea. *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, 73, 1–16.
- Kim, D., D.-S. R. Park, M. Chang, D.-H. Cha, and M. Lee, 2023: Reanalyzing the relationship of tropical cyclone frequency and intensity affecting South Korea with the Pacific Decadal Oscillation. *Journal of Climate*, in press.
- Evans, C., and coauthors, 2017: The extratropical transition of tropical cyclones. Part I: Cyclone evolution and direct impacts. *Monthly Weather Review*, 145, 4317–4344.
- Galarneau, T. J., C. A. Davis, and M. A. Shapiro, 2013: Intensification of hurricane Sandy (2012) through extratropical warm core seclusion. *Monthly Weather Review*, 141, 4296–4321.
- Grams, C. M., and H. M. Archambault, 2016: The key role of diabatic outflow in amplifying the midlatitude flow: A representative case study of weather systems surrounding western North Pacific extratropical transition. *Monthly Weather Review*, 144, 3847–3869.
- Hart, R. E., J. L. Evans, and C. Evans, 2006: Synoptic composites of the extratropical transition life cycle of North Atlantic tropical cyclones: Factors determining posttransition evolution. *Monthly Weather Review*, 134, 553–578.
- Hsiang, S. M., and Narita, D., 2012: Adaptation to cyclone risk: Evidence from the global cross-section. *Climate Change Economics*, 3, 1250011.
- Jones, S. C., 2003: The extratropical transition of tropical cyclones: Forecast challenges, current understanding, and future directions. *Weather and Forecasting*, 18, 1052–1092.
- Keller, J. H., and coauthors, 2019: The extratropical transition of tropical cyclones. Part II: Interaction with the midlatitude flow, downstream impacts, and implications for predictability. *Monthly Weather Review*, 147, 1077–1106.
- Klein, P. M., P. A. Harr, and R. L. Elsberry, 2000: Extratropical transition of western north pacific tropical cyclones: An overview and conceptual model of the transformation stage. *Weather and Forecasting*, 15, 373–395.

Klotzbach, P. J., M. M. Bell, S. G. Bowen, E. J. Gibney, K. R. Knapp, and C. J. Schreck, 2020: Surface pressure a more skillful predictor of normalized hurricane damage than maximum sustained wind. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 101 (6), E830–E846.

Knapp, K. R., H. J. Diamond, J. P. Kossin, M. C. Kruk, and C. J. Schreck, 2018: International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS) project, version 4. Data NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/ibtracs/index.php?name=ib-v4-access>, 245 <https://doi.org/10.25921/82ty-9e16>.

Knapp, K. R., M. C. Kruk, D. H. Levinson, H. J. Diamond, and C. J. Neumann, 2010: The International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS): Unifying tropical cyclone best track data. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 91, 363–376.

Kunitsugu, and Masashi, 2012: Tropical cyclone information provided by the RSMC Tokyo-Typhoon Center, *Tropical Cyclone Research and Review*, 1, 51–59.

Moon, I.-J., and S. J. Kwon, 2012: Impact of upper-ocean thermal structure on the intensity of Korean peninsular landfall typhoons, *Progress in Oceanography*, 105, 61-66.

Nam, C. C., D.-S. R. Park, C.-H. Ho, and D. Chen, 2018: Dependency of tropical cyclone risk on track in South Korea. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 18, 3225–3234.

Nordhaus, W. D., 2010: The economics of hurricanes and implications of global warming. *Climate Change Economics*, 1, 1–20.

Park, D.-S. R., C.-H. Ho, J. Kim, K. Kang, and C. C. Nam, 2016: Highlighting socioeconomic damages caused by weakened tropical cyclones in the Republic of Korea. *Natural Hazards*, 82, 1301–1315.

Park, D.-S. R., C.-H. Ho, C. C. Nam, and H.-S. Kim, 2015: Evidence of reduced vulnerability to tropical cyclones in the Republic of Korea. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10, 054003.

Park, D.-S. R., H.-S. Kim, M. Kwon, Y.-H. Byun, M.-K. Kim, I.-U. Chung, J.-S. Park, and S.-K. Min, 2021: A Performance Evaluation of Potential Intensity over the Tropical Cyclone Passage to South Korea Simulated by CMIP5 and CMIP6 Models. *Atmosphere*, 12, 1214.

Quinlan, J. R., 1993: C4.5: Programs for machine learning. Morgan Kaufmann.

Ritchie, E. A., and Elsberry, R. L., 2003: Simulations of the extratropical transition of tropical cyclones: contributions by the midlatitude upper-level trough to reintensification. *Monthly Weather Review* 131, 9, 2112-2128.

Schreck, C. J., III, K. R. Knapp, and J. P. Kossin, 2014: The impact of best track discrepancies on global tropical cyclone climatologies using IBTrACS, *Monthly Weather Review*, 142(10), 3881–3899.

Studholme, J., K. J. Hodges, and C. M. Brierley, 2015: Objective determination of the extratropical transition of tropical cyclones in the Northern Hemisphere. *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, 67, 24474.

350 Velden, C. S., T. L. Olander, and R. M. Zehr, 1998: Development of an Objective Scheme to Estimate
351 Tropical Cyclone Intensity from Digital Geostationary Satellite Infrared Imagery. Weather and
352 Forecasting, 13, 172-186.

353

354

355

Table 1. The six impact categories adopted from the tropical cyclone (TC) grade of the Regional Specialized Meteorological Center (RSMC) Tokyo at the time of the TC entering a 3° distance line from the Korean coastline. V_{\max} indicates the maximum value of the 10-min average of winds at a height of 10 m above the sea surface inside the TC.

	Grade	Full name	Intensity
Strong TC (STC)	TY	typhoon	$33 \text{ m s}^{-1} \leq V_{\max}$
	STS	severe tropical storm	$25 \text{ m s}^{-1} \leq V_{\max} < 33 \text{ m s}^{-1}$
	TS	tropical storm	$17 \text{ m s}^{-1} \leq V_{\max} < 25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$
Weak TC (WTC)	TD	tropical depression	$V_{\max} < 17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$
	ET	extratropical transition	not available*
	Unknown	grade missing (not assigned)	not available

*ET in the western North Pacific is defined by Japanese Meteorological Agency through post-analysis using all the available weather data (Kunitsugu 2012).




Fig. 1. TC parameters (impact angle, impact category, and minimum distance) of typhoon Usagi (2007) used in a decision tree analysis. Gray lines denote the 3° distance from the Korean coastline and border. “x” marker with circle denotes the locations where Usagi (2007) first comes into the 3° line. Star indicates the location of Daejeon city, which is a basis for calculating the impact angle. The impact angle is calculated counter-clockwise from the north just as the blue curved arrow. “+” represents the location of Usagi (2007) where it records minimum distance from the coastline of Korea.

Economic losses [billion KRW]




Fig. 2. Economic losses (in billion KRW) caused by STCs and WTCs that made landfall in five provinces in Korea. Bold text indicates the median; values inside parentheses indicate the average of all the economic losses records for each province; italicized text refers to the provinces with statistically different economic losses from STCs and WTCs, at the 95% confidence level (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank sum test).




Fig. 3. Tracks and landfall intensities of TCs that made landfall in Korea for the years 1979–2015. a) Economic losses caused by STCs (damaged STCs); b) no economic loss from STCs (undamaged STCs); c) economic losses caused by WTCs (damaged WTCs); d) no economic loss from WTCs (undamaged WTCs).




Fig. 4. Decision tree flowchart analysis of TCs that made landfall in Korea for the years 1979–2015. Parentheses inside end nodes refer to the number of correctly predicted cases/the number of cases that satisfy the conditions.




Fig. 5. Economic losses from the TCs that made landfall in Korea for the years 1979–2015, represented by the tracks and impact categories. Red indicates economic loss, black indicates no economic loss, solid lines are the cases that are accurately predicted by the decision tree model, and dashed lines are incorrectly predicted cases.